

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/617,049	07/11/2003	Yoshihiro Nakazawa	0505-1211P 3055		
2292	7590 08/12/2004		EXAMINER		
BIRCH STE PO BOX 747	WART KOLASCH &	CASTRO, ARNOLD			
	RCH, VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3747		

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/617,04	19	NAKAZAWA ET AL.			
		Examine		Art Unit			
		Arnold Ca		3747			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the	e cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ddress		
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evication. days, a reply within the stattory period will apply and will by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tinutory minimum of thirty (30) darill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered time n the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	aly. communication.		
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on					
2a) <u></u> ☐)⊠ This action is r					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5) <u></u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the transfer of the latest tr						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	ıt(s)		_				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	0.048\	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTomation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Per No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		⁻ O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/617,049

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 1 recites the limitation " other cables wired to said engine and a part coupled to said engine " in last line of claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is indefinite as to what other cables have been claimed.
- 4. Claims13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Where applicant acts as his or her own lexicographer to specifically define a term of a claim contrary to its ordinary meaning, the written description must clearly redefine the claim term and set forth the uncommon definition so as to put one reasonably skilled in the art on notice that the applicant intended to so redefine that claim term. *Process Control Corp. v. HydReclaim Corp.*, 190 F.3d 1350, 1357, 52 USPQ2d 1029, 1033 (Fed. Cir. 1999). The term "diameter" in claims 13-17 is used by the claims to mean "sectional area", while the accepted meaning is:

Art Unit: 3747

a. A straight line segment passing through the center of a figure, especially of a circle or sphere, and terminating at the periphery. **b.** The length of such a segment. **c.** Thickness or width. ¹."

The term is indefinite because the specification does not clearly redefine the term. Albeit, applicant mentions "where the cable C1 has a wire diameter or sectional area equal to one of the cables C2 to C4" this does not redefine the term but rather states to compare between C1 diameter and C2 to C4 diameters or sectional area of C1 and the sectional area of C2 to C4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roberts et al (US/4,074,670) in view of Roberts et al. (US/4,158,346) referred to as "670 and "346 respectively.

'670 disclose and engine having rubber engine mounts and a ground strap 60 connected to engine 12 and body 14 so that an electrical path is formed therebetween. (Col. 3, lines 9-15) However, in this patent Roberts does not disclose the conventional wiring of a power and return of a starter motor.

¹ The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Third Edition copyright © 1992 by Houghton Mifflin Company. Electronic version licensed from INSO Corporation; further reproduction and distribution restricted in accordance with the Copyright Law of the United States. All rights reserved.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,049

Art Unit: 3747

'346 is introduced to show the conventional wiring of a starter motor, shown is cable (50) connecting (+) battery to starter and cable (46) connecting (-) of battery to top of engine. (col. 3, lines 8-13).

At the time of the invention, Roberts would have had the conventional starter cables 46, and 50 and a cable 60 connecting to chassis in patent '670. The diameter size of all cables would have been the same AWG (automotive wire gauge) suitable for the current drawing needs of the system.

In regards to claims 5-9 and 13-17 the diameters are obvious to one of ordinary skill in through routine optimization or material selection. The applicant should note that the selection of a known material based upon its suitability for the intended use is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Leshin, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960).

Motivation to combine the conventional starter motor wiring disclosed by '346 into '670 would have been to have means of starting engine.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arnold Castro whose telephone number is (703) 305-0039. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yuen Henry can be reached on (703) 308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3747

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arnold Castro Examiner Art Unit 3747

AC